Discussions regarding Quranic sciences and gaining cognizance of different issues of this field date back to the advent of Islam. Throughout history, this field has witnessed a surge of valuable research that has been and is being conducted on its various issues. Farahi (1863-1930), the Indian interpreter, due to preventing the introduction of eisegesis and Isra’iliyyat which lack well-accepted sources into the field of Quran interpretation, is known as the interpreter of Quran. In the introduction of Nazm-ul-Quran and his other works, Farahi proposes novel ideas regarding Qur’anic sciences such as coherence, interconnection between verses and Surahs (or Surahs) of Quran, asbab al-nuzul (occasions of revelation), and the muqatta’at (disjoined letters), etc. He has also put forward some views concerning naskh (abrogation) which are in line with other interpreters’ views. Determined and deliberate attempts of this anonymous author in the field of Qur’anic science led the researchers, drawing on library research and descriptive-analytic methods, to shed some light on the views of this noteworthy interpreter and, as a corollary, revive his character.
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INTRODUCTION

Quran researchers in the field of Quran written a lot about Qur’anic sciences and even some commentators have focused on the discussion of the issues in the introduction of their commentary or in their interpretation texts. Abdul Hamid Farahi is among the commentators (1349 AH) who was born in “Fariba”, a village of the city of “Azamgar” in India. He studied Hebrew, rational and traditional sciences, customs of nations, history, and New Western philosophy taught by his cousin “Allameh Shebeli” and other great teachers as “Molana Abulhasanat Abdulhay Farangi Mahali” and “Molana Feiz Alhassan” and “Fazlallah Ibn Nematalla Ansari” to serve Quran. He, given the time consequences and in order to avoid the penetration of Isra’iliyyat which lack well-accepted sources into the field of Quran interpretation authored “Nazm-ul-Quran Va Tavil ul- Forqan bel-furghan” to defend the holy manuscript.

Farahi authored over fifty books on Quranic sciences, poetry, grammar and rhetoric in Urdu, Arabic, English and Persian; therefore, he gained the Qur’an knowledge and the stance that few scholars can reach; hence, his contemporaries called him “TarjomanalQuran” (See, Farahi, Publisher Introduction, 2002: 27).

Researchers less paid attention to “Nazm-ul-Quran Va Tavil ul- Forqan bel-furghan” interpretation that is a contemporary interpretation of Quran. The book in two volumes includes fifteen Surahs of the Quran interpretation in new styles. Abdul Hamid Farahi, in the introduction to this interpretation, briefly addressed the Qur’anic sciences topics to prevent the spread of topics in interpreting the Quran. But detailed views of Farahi, in this regard are authored of their influential five books known as an introductory to “Nazm-ul-Quran” including: “Alqeda ela oyon al aqaed”, “Ahkam al-usol beahkam al-rasol ”, “Alrosokh fi marefate alnasekh va almansokh”, and “Hojaj al Quran”.

The authors of this paper seek to answer the following question:

1. What are Farahi views on the question of cohesion of Surahs and verses of the Quran, manuscripts, disconnected letters, revelation and the definitively of Quran as a source of reference?

As far as researchers know there is no research conducted in relation to this subject. There is some researches on the study of Abdul Hamid Farahi biography and the method of his commentary in Damascus entitled as “Imam Abdul Hamid Farahi va manhajaho fi tafsir” in 2004 with few examples of their interpretation of Quranic Surahs in brief. There is also another study entitled “Imam Abdul Hamid Farahi va johodoho fi tafsir va olom al Quran” authored by Mohamad Fraid Ravi IBN Abdulla Malezi in 2015, introducing...
Abdul Hamid Farahi books, and interpretation method and comparing them with other interpretations, without any reference to his views on Qur’anic sciences. However, the current paper addresses some of his Qur’anic sciences theories, including cohesion of cohesion of Surahs and verses of the Quran, manuscripts, disconnected letters, revelation and the definitively of Quran as a source of reference.

COHESION OF SUARAHS AND VERSES OF THE QURAN

Studying all Surahs of the Quran shows consistency and the absence of any discrepancy and disagreement that is the quality of cohesion of Surahs and verses of the Quran. Believing in the cohesion of Surahs and verses of the Quran, while interpreting, leads the interpreter to the alignment of all the verses and internal cohesion and not to interpret verse independently. In this light, the interpreter considers the Quran systematic and interrelated with deep connections between each section and other parts of verses. Of course, the commentator cannot benefit from the overall coherence of the verses in interpretation if does not believe in the verses proportionality and their descending or in whole revelation of the whole or in part of verse (Moadab, 2009: 142). On this topic, having checked the “order” and “proportionality” of the word and the term, we will review them from the perspective of Abdul Hamid Farahi.

THE LITERAL MEANING OF THE TERM “NAZM”

The term “Nazm” literally mean arrange, order and sort things (See, Amid, 2010 p: 1128 and Bandar Rigi, 1993: 592). And pearl beads etc. that regularly drawn on yarn and are arranged side by side are called “monazam”; (See, Ibn Manzur, 1414 AH: vol. 12, 578-579). And the yarn or string that binds them together and threads beads and bangle is called “nezam” with the plural form of “Nozom” (See, Bandar Rigi, 1993: 592; and Firozabadi, 2005: 1162). “However, what is meant by “nazm” by the Quran scholars is the interconnection between some verses of the Quran to act as a unit of meaning, coherent and integrated” (Zarkeshi, 1984: vol. 1, 36) Every Surah of the Qur’an, although with different subject, is a united whole with its beginning interconnected to its ends and vice versa seeking the same goal. One who pursues Quranic “nazm” should take into account all components of a verse, in addition to the verse “nezam” (Deraz, 1957: 159). None of predecessors could explain and consolidate this theory as Farahi did, and write about. In fact, Farahi is the one, who brought science to its proper place based on a solid foundation. He clarified the science method, definitions and practices and authored a book entitled “Da-layel al nezam” (Farahi, 2000: Publisher Introduction, 5-6).

Mustansir Mir, in the introduction to the book “Ensejam dar Quran” writes about coherence in Quran: Muslims interpretation of Quran is of different types: traditional, theologial, literary, and philosophical and legal. However, the common interpretation issue undoubtedly is the verse to verse detailed attitude approach to the Quran. Major interpretation has investigated one or more verses of the Quran without regard to prior of followed verses. Mir writes, this approach led to believe that the verses of the Quran “nazm” and “nezam” is not important in interpretation. Accordingly, there is no surprise that many western authors concluded that the Quran is not coherent. Then, Mir in reference to Amin Ahsan Eslahi, the Pakistani commentator interpretation, adds that he knows Quran of such a coherence unique in itself that complements the Quran meanings. The term used by Eslahi (1418 AH) following his teacher (Abdul Hamid Farahi) to show the Quran coherence is “enzebat, tartib va nezam” (Mustansir Mir, 2011: 51-59).

THE LITERAL MEANING OF THE TERM “TANASOB”

The terms “tanasob” or “monasebat”, means to connect two things, proximity and closeness. When we say something “monaseb” with another this means that they are close. The term “nasib” is derived from the same root that means kin, for example brother or cousin and etc (See, Encyclopedia of the Quran, vol. 8, 652 and Zarkeshi, 1984: c 1.61).

“tanasob” literally means the link between two things at any direction. “tanasobat” is either objective or subjective in writings, but in the Quranic science, the term gain more narrow meaning referring to the link between the parts of a verse or some verses as well as the link among Surahs. The science of “monasbat” is the science to detect the links among various parts of the Quran seemingly discrete and unrelated. Relations either appear among two parts of the same verse or adjacent verses, or etc (Encyclopedia of the Quran, vol. 8, 652).

VERSES AND SUARAHS “NAZM” AND “TANASOB” ON FARAH VIEW

Abdul Hamid Farahi is among those interpreters believing in the gradual revelation of the Quran; “Those who reject Faith say:” Why is not the Quran revealed to him all at once? Thus is it revealed, that We may strengthen thy heart thereby, and We have rehearsed it to thee in slow, well-arranged stages, gradually” (Forqan, 32) to fix the meaning and then it was compiled, clarified and explained; “It is for Us to collect it and to recite it” (Qiyamat: 17). Contemplation in the Quran verses reveals that the Prophet sorted “nazm” the verses and Gabriel it to the Prophet then, that is the unity of the manuscript and the order to follow it. He in the book “Nezam ul Quran” seeks to detect the order “nazm” in verses (Farahi, 2008: 75). He does not follow philosophers, logicians and Sufis methods on the detection of the Quran “nazm”, but relies merely on the holy Quran and writes: There is consensus among past and contemporary commentators that interpret the Quran verse interpret each other, that is the safest and best interpretive method because this method of interpretation makes man familiar with the “nazm” and “tanazob” on verses in the Qur’an,and provides more evidence for thematic relationship with other issues and acts as an incentive to detect the full connections between the verses and to find better and brighter examples in the Quran; and
of mercy, implies God mercy on thankful people, and then, warns the day of the judgment encouraging praying, crying and begging and finally, trusting in God; and, how beautiful is the trust following the optimism (Farahi, 2009: 106).

Some commentators and scholars of the Qur’an know Quranic Surahs’ interconnected and argue that the last verse of each Surah is linked with the first verse the next one (Babaei, 2000 p: 142-143).

Farahi believes that not only the verse of the same Surah are linked together and integrated, but this association and consistency is present among Surahs’, as well. Farahi, interpreting each Surah, first provides its relationship with the former and the later Surah in the beginning, and then reviews and interprets the verses relations to others. For example:

1. Farahi, writes on the relationship and “nazm” among the verses of Surahs: “Both Surahs “Nasr” and “Lahab” are based on the verse “And say:” Truth has) now (arrived, and Falsehood perished: for Falsehood is) by its nature (bound to perish” (Isra: 18); where the Surah “Nasr” is based on the first part, namely “Truth has) now (arrived)” and the Surah “Lahab” is based on the second part, namely “and Falsehood perished”. Farahi, writes seemingly, “Lahab” emphasizes on the meaning of the followed “Lahab” and provides the good news that “God helped His Messenger and His enemies killed” (Farahi, 2008: 575-576).

2. Commentator writes about “nazm” and the Surahs’ connection; for example, Al-Baqara and Fatiha connection and cohesion: Surah Al-Baqarah is the Quran forefront. Al-Baqara is the crown of the Quran and Fatiha is the jewel. Al-Baqarah is the interpretation of the Fatiha with all the brevity and consistency of expression and is as an introduction to the Holy Qur’an to describe its content; for detailed after brevity is the best ways to teach that is in the Quran. As Allah says: “Alif. Lam. Ra. (This is) a Book, with verses basic or fundamental (of established meaning), further explained in detail, - - from One Who is Wise and Well-acquainted) with all things”.

Farahi, after explaining the contents of Al-Baqarah Surah, in relation to cohesion with next Surah, Ale-Emran writes: Ale-Emran follows Al-Baqarah because of their similarities.

There is a narration that “Whoever reads Al-Baqarah and Ale-Emran, on the Day of Judgment both Surah cover him as if a cloud shadow over his head” (Kafami, 1405 AH: 439).

This means these two Surahs are great blessings for believers on the Day of Judgment. Then he gives some reasons for Al-Baqarah preceding Ale-Emran (See, Farahi, 2000: 29-31).

### FARAHI REASONS FOR CONCERN TO THE QURANIC NAZM

Including those caused, Farahi concern to the Quranic Nazm is as follows:

1. Differences in interpretation: according to Farahi, differences in interpretation are due to lack of attention to the relationship between verses. If the Quranic Nazm and the word are clear, everyone will gather under one flag and one word. The verses: “Seest thou not how Al-

The holy Quran provides one meaning in various forms in orderly sentences so that the altered statements and terms carry the same concepts. This is like a commander who arranges his troop in different orders and only those who are familiar with the techniques are able to discern the facts. The Quran “nazm” is such that only those familiar with the Arabic literature and deep understanding of the Quranic concept can understand it (Farahi, 2008: 41). The differences in style in the Qur’an are because of the beauty of the word and avoid duplication; because if a concept is repeated in different methods several times, if did not understand its meaning, certainly somewhere the concept will be understood. Holy Quran says: “See how We explain the signs in diverse ways; that they may understand” (Anaaam, 65) (ibid: 40-41). However, this “nazm” in the Holy Quran is done in several ways:

1. The subject may be the main theme of a Surah; 2. it should be used as proof and argument; 3) may be expressed concisely; 4) may be expressed in details; 5) prior expressed; 6) later expressed; 7) be expressed as a single issue; 8) and come together with other issues (ibid., 42).

The meaning of “nazm” in “Nazm-ul-Quran Va Tavil ul- Forqan bel-furghan” is the unity of subject referred to by the contemporary authors. Farahi writes: “Sometimes “tanasob” is among non-adjacent not interconnected verses, so that the next verse, by far, is connected to the former verse, this lack of connection between adjacent verses is frequent in the Quran and sometimes we see that verse or group of verses with the theme connection are far apart. In these cases, if the “nezam” is specified in the Qur’an, the meaning of words linked together and integrated word there will show an image and one will have a clear picture and if viewed from this perspective the beauty, coherence and clarity of the word will prevail (ibid: 75). Thus, the concept of a Surah “nezam” means the unity of word and “tanasob” with the former and later Surah. As parenthetical verses may be, Surah do the same, according to the theory of “nezam”, the Qur’an from beginning to end, is a united theology and discipline fits in all sections. Therefore, “nezam” provides Surah with unity and independence so that Surah becomes completely independent with its components built upon. To create a better and independence so that Surah becomes completely independent with its components built upon. To create a better and independence so that Surah becomes completely independent with its components built upon. To create a better
lah sets forth a parable? - - A goodly Word like a goodly tree, whose root is firmly fixed, and its branches) reach (to the heavens” (Ibrahim: 24), and “And hold fast, all together, by the Rope which Allah) stretch-es out for you (and be not divided among yourselves” (Ale-Emran” 103).

2. Scientist’s lack of the ability to defend the Quran: More unbelievers found faults with the Qur’an in term of flaws in nazm, and many Muslim scholars used the verse “It is a grievous thing that issues from their mouths as a saying” (Kahf: 5) rather than witness to the truth and to defend the Book of Allah.

3. The secret meaning of the word: It is no secret that the nazm of the words, is that if left unchecked, part of its meaning is abandoned, and whoever does not under-stand the Qur’anic nazm is deprived of the understand-ing of a lot of concepts.

4. Following the nazm of the Qur’an: God has revealed His Book progressively since come to be established, “he unbelievers ask: “Why was the Koran not sent down to him all at once? ‘ As such We strengthen your heart thereby, and We have recited it very distinctly.” (Forghan: 32). And then, meaning of the verses was gathered, recited and clarified: “It’s gathering and recit-ing is upon Us.”(Qiyama: 17). Therefore, the Surah, when reached the end was put together by God and ex-plained. Reflection on the Quran verses shows that the Prophet (PBUH) on Gods command put verses in their place (nazm) and Gabriel recited the Surah to the Prophet (PBUH) and it is the same as reading the Qur’an and order to following it.

5. On the nazm of the verses of the Quran: Whose familiar with the beauty, clarity and nazm of the Quran verses and their proof and place with complex wis-dom assures more faithful to the book of God; because most of it lies in the nazm of the Holy Quran (See: ibid. 15-20).

THE QURANIC COMMANDS ABROGATION

The Quranic commands changes are one of the old discus-sions in the Quranic science (Seyoti, vol 2: 20; Zarkeshi, 1984: vol 2: 151-176). And the effects of this topic in inter-pretation and jurisprudence are massive. In this section, Abdul Hamid Farahi view on the manuscript commands changes, changes categories, provisions and principles and wisdom will be repealed.

The Quranic commands abrogation principles: Farahi in his book “Afrosokh fi marefat al nasekh val mansokh”, notes to the importance of the abrogation and discussed the issue separately. He knows Islamic scholars dispute on the issue as to quarrel about words. He explains abrogation on four principles:

1. As long as we can make the agreement between gen-eral and specific, detailed and concise and warrant and waste, we do not warrant revocation. For example, God forbids marriage to a pagan woman and elsewhere pro-hibits marrying adulterous women. Here also is the sec-ond forbidding includes the first, as well.

2. The manuscript commands abrogation is when there is disagreeing on a verdict and reasoning proves one better than the other, for example “None of Our revelations do We abrogate or cause to be forgotten, but We substitute something better or similar: Knowest thou not that Allah Hath power over all things” (Al-Baqarah: 106).

3. Sentences should gradually be expanded; it will be bet-ter and easier if people are guided gradually from easy to difficult. And if the opposite happens, it is because of the wisdom and expediency. As were the reduction on the number of combatants as well as evening prayers and Allah revealed the interest it off well to know that we are not opposed to the original sentence; for the first sentence was for those who were stronger and more constant and the second included poor people have been added to them.

4. The change and the changed into are clear in the wordINGS. Farahi, provides the verse 185 of Al-Baqarah as a changed verse: “God desires ease for you, and desires not hardship for you; and He desires (that you fulfil the number, and magnify God that He has guided you, and haply you will be thankful.” (Al-Baqarah: 186). Here “even as it was prescribed for those that were before you haply you will be godfearing” (Al-Baqarah: 183) com-manded on the Ashura day fasting that was incumbent on Jews. Tradition also specifies that the Prophet had agreed on Ashura fasting along with the Jews. That day, in the month of Rabi al-Awwal marks the ninth month of the Jews. The verse shows that the fasting was on a specific day: “that you should fast is better for you if you but know” (Al-Baqarah: 184). Those unable to fast punished by ransom and then according to this verse clarified that fasting is better. But when God distinguish between Jews and Muslims, and ordered the hatred of the Jews and turned Qibla, also changed the fasting peri-od to Ramadan and in the end, the ransom was changed to fasting. All these cases are the turn to the best from the better (See, ibid. 146) that the only abrogation of the judgment in verse recitation of the verse is abrogated.

CLASSIFICATION OF ABROGATION

(A) Abrogation of preceding rules

Farahi knows more abrogation on the previous verdicts and divides them into two general parts:

(a) Abrogation of previous verdicts that it is better to human progress;

(b) The return of the principle of law that has been forgotten.

Farahi knows the verse “Those unbelievers of the Peo-ple of the Book and the idolaters wish not that any good should be sent down upon you from your Lord but God singles out for His mercy whom He will God is of bounty abounding” (Al-Baqarah: 105) of the sec-ond type and writes that they are envious and God is of bounty abounding. Also, “None of Our revelations do We abrogate or cause to be forgotten, but We substitute something better or similar: Knowest thou not that Allah Hath power over all things” (Al-Baqarah: 106) of the
professionals expressed diverse and sometimes disparate perspectives and opinions about the concept. Some are as follows, and then Abdul Hamid Farahi view will be discussed.

THE CONCEPTUAL MEANING OF THE MUQATAʿĀT

There are a variety of views on The Muqattaʾāt including:

1. The Muqattaʾāt are concepts that no one knows their meanings but God, (See, Tabarsi, 1981 Sun: Vol. 1, 55 and Najafi Khomeini, 1398 AH: Vol. 1, 31).

2. These letters are the Qurʾan Mutashabihat that their science is with the Prophet and the Imams (AS) (See; Hosseini Shah Abdulazimzi, 1984: vol. 1, 50 and Mirza Khoosrowani, 1390 AH: Vol. 1, 44).

3. They are the names of Surahs, and each Surah is known by the letters starting it (See, Tabarsi, 1998 Sun: Vol. 1, 15; Tabarsi, 1981: vol. 1, 55 and Qarati, 2004: Vol. 1, 41).

4. They are the God’s Most Great Name (See, Hosseini Hamedani, 1404 AH: Vol. 1, 34; Rezaee Isfahani, 1425 AH: 56-72 and Qarati, 2004, vol 1: 41).

5. They are derived from the attributes of God (See, Tabarsi, 1998, vol. 1, 15 and Qarati, 2004: vol. 1, 41).


8. Hieroglyphic letters: “Quran has borrowed these letters from the hieroglyphic language, once were used to write and speak the international language prior to Islam. It is the same for male and female and singular and plural: the singular male. In addition, The Muqattaʾāt are known to be the roots of ancient Egyptian words, such as names of cities, tribes, clans, groups, plants, and the mountains” (Adle, 2009: 10, 11 and 20).

THE MUQATTAʾĀT ON FARAH View

Abdul Hamid Farahi, knows the Muqattaʾāt derived from the letters of the Hebrew that is very close to the Arabic language. He wrote about the first verse of Al-Baqarah: “LM) is an independent sentence, (ALM), as it means in the other names before the start of books, chapters, and topics (See, Farahi, 2000: 68). The names of the Arabic, are not absolute sound like names in Hindi and English, but names for objects and images of them, so many of these letters are names of the objects and remains graven images of objects in those letters; as characters, remnants of that early representations, based on the shapes of the letters. It is clearer when we look at the linear forms of Hebrew letters. For example, the letter “Ta” in Hebrew means the snake and is، and we see the Surah (Taha) is about the story of Moses and his stick change into a snake.

Other Surahs’ with The Muqattaʾāt (T) including Qesas, Shoara, Namal witch start with “tasinmim”, all start with the story of Moses (pbuh) and his stick change into a snake and besides these four Surahs, only the Surah Aaraf takes the story Moses (PBUH) along with other earlier prophets as Noah, Hud, Salih, Lot and Shoaaib (pbuh). Previously, some of the earlier scholars such as Sakhavi called Surah Taha the Surah Kalim, or Hazl called it Surah Moses. Or in Hebrew “alef” was the name for the cow and was in the form of the cow’s head and “Ba” means the house, the letter “Jim” with the noun form of “jamil” meant camel, and this is clear for whoever familiar with the Arabic writing and or the letter “Nun”, which means fish, and the Surah in the Qurʾan is named with this letter is about the prophet “Younus” and did not name the other prophets (See, ibid., 96-98).

REVELATION

Revelation includes the events at the time of the Prophets (PBUH) and events happened before quoted in the Quran (Marefat, 1415 AH: Vol. 1, 254). For example, we say a certain verse is revealed on infallibility of prophets or angels or Noah or Abraham or Adam and. *(Marefat, dateless: 47).
The unique way to achieve the revelation of verses referring to the traditions quoted from the companions who witnessed the revelation of the Quran and understand its causes and effort (Vahedi, 1983: 19).

According to Farahi, revelation is not the cause for specific verse or Surah but it is the people who caused the verses revelation. Each Surah has a topic or topics with more attention and is the basis of the Surah. Revelation must be found in its own Surah; because the words must be in accordance with the position, as a doctor looking at a patient’s prescriptions realizes the illness. Therefore, the words and subject must fit in everything. When it is said that the verses is revealed on such occasion, this means that such matters existed then. It must be understood that all the verses had motivation and reasons and podiums; Thus, Imam Razi problem in the interpretation of the verse in Surah Al-Anaam “And when those who believe in Our Signs come to thee” (Al-Anaam/54) is solved, when he said “I find something wrong here, where people agreed that a Surah was revealed at once, if so, what can be said about any verse of the Surah that the reason for its revelation was such and such”. Zarkeshi writes: “Among the companions and followers methods was that whenever one of them said, “This verse has been revealed about this issue,” the meant the verse includes the sentence, not that the same problem was the reason for the verse revelation (See, Farahi, 2008: 25 and Siyoti; Vol. 1, 32).

According to Farahi, the purpose is not the case; it means that newly created concept for the Arab, causes and something belonging an associated with it. As stated in Surah al-Kahf: “and We gave him a way to everything and he followed a way” (al-Kahf: 84-85), as well as “so I may reach the cords the cords of the heavens” (Qafer, 36-37), and this concept abounds in Arab language. In his opinion, should be the revelation of the Quran should be sought in the Quran and the confirmed the correct traditions should be used, traditions that do not destroy the Quran Nazm. Whoever is looking for the truth and comforting certainty should clarify the status of nazm, and avoid what diminishes the nazm (See, Farahi, 2008: 27). The point distinguishing Farahi from other commentators is his attention to nazm with no attention to the time of revelation (See, ibid. 26-27).

FARAWI REASONS RELATED TO THE REVELATION

Farahi enumerates causes for severe need of revelation science in the book “Rassael fi ulom al Quran”. He believes in case of faults in revelation people are misled as the following:

a. The void may change meaning and destroy it;

b. The false is a veil that prevents the nazm;

c. The false cause’s obstacle to understanding the Quran
(See, Farahi, 2011: 128).

FARAWI BELIEVES IN THE GRADUAL REVELATION OF THE QURAN

Abdul Hamid Farahi asSheikh Mofid as Ayatollah Marefat believes in the gradual revelation of the Quran. He, according to the verse “he unbelievers ask: ‘Why was the Koran not sent down to him all at once?’ As such We strengthen your heart thereby, and We have recited it very distinctly” (Forghan/32) believes that the Quran was not revealed all at once, but gradually revealed, then some of its provisions are given full complement (See, Farahi, 2008: 68). He writes about it:

God, has revealed Holy Quran according to time conditions as the tree is irrigated gradually and slowly to be fixed firmly rooted in the soil and grow and become larger, a lot of watering destroys its root, and loosens the earth (See; Farahi, 2011: 132).

SUBJECTIVE OR OBJECTIVE NATURE OF THE HOLY QURAN

When we talk of the implications of a discussion, in fact, we talk about the relationship between word spoken and its content. Implications have three modes: the first is deals with certain implications, this means that the purpose of that statement is certainly known and it is objective; the second is that the implication is at the level of suspicion or surprise or conjecture that is the subjective implication. This means that the intention of the talk is suspected. The third and final mode is where there is no clear implication. This means that we do not know the literal meaning of the words (See, Sadeghi Tehrani, 2014).

SUBJECTIVE OR OBJECTIVE NATURE OF IMPLICATIONS OF THE HOLY QURAN ON FARAWI VIEW

Since Farahi believes in the revelation of the Qur’an, he also acknowledges the objectivity of the Quran. He knows the start of subjectivity implication of the Quran by the followers that some of the revelations were destroyed, and the Quran was interpreted by companions’ effects. In such circumstances, there was some disagreement about aspects of a single meaning and weak traditions have grown and books of interpretations were filled with Jews and Christians false stories and thereafter, philosophy appeared with differences on ideas.

Each sect adhered to favored traditions and philosophies to the extent that the appearance also seemed confused; and ways of interpreting the Quran became dark and the door was closed in the comments and traditions, and the Quran and to understanding its rule was vague and confused. Farahi stated that the medication to this incurable disease is merely resorting to the Qur’an as the Book of God and the return of traditions and ideas to it and to accept the objective nature of the Quran implications. This is to accept that there’s only one interpretation and to achieve the following principles:

1. Instead of inquisitive in the Qur’an, should ponder, because people with a moment of reflection on the Quran find answer and surrender;

2. Should not seek the details of story accounts, all humans are curious and their perceptions are based on personal experience, and recognizing and identifying issues by name or shape or their places and say: “What did God
desire by this for a similitude” (Al-Baqarah: 26), “what she may be” (Al-Baqarah: 70) and or “what her colour” (Al-Baqarah:69). Interpreters to meet the people needs fabricated stories and details were not from the Quran that caused different meanings;
3. Quran should be the only resort in sensitive situations and hadith and traditions interference with the interpretation should be avoided.
4. definitive rules should be issued in relation to religious laws out of the Quran; because the carelessness in dealing with the opposition in such cases causes the ignorance and misguidance and created multiple meanings for the Qur’an;
5. Should not debate in theological because the causes intrigue unbelief and heterodoxy, and to escape from this predicament the Quran should be considered objective, not subjective (See; Farahi, dateless: 32- 35).
Farahi in “Nازm-ul-Quran” interpretation uses only the meanings proven to be true; for views without reasons in interpretation misleads people. He writes on the objectivity of the Quran implications: Some apparent facts are hidden to interpretation misleads people. He writes on the objectivity of the Quran implications: Some apparent facts are hidden to 

CONCLUSION
1. Farahi, by Nازm Surah, means the connection between two adjacent Surahs in terms of the same concepts and Tanasob. He believes, not only the verses of a Surah are interconnected and coherent, but there is the same connection and coherence among a Surah and its preceding and following one.
2. Farahi believes in the gradual revelation of the Quran. He believes the Quran was gradually revealed by God to make man understand it more easily and effectively.
3. Farahi, unlike other Sunni commentators and scholars, believes in the Quran rules abrogation by the Qur’an as well as the traditions abrogation by the Qur’an and rejects abrogation in the Qur’anic manuscripts rules by the tradition.
4. The Muqatta’ât on Farahi views are taken from Hebrew, for example the word Ta’ in Hebrew in the form t means snake and the only four Surahs Taha, Namal, Ghesas and Shoara contain The Muqatta’ât (Ta’) (The Telsem) and (Ta Sin) initiating the story of Moses and his stick change into a snake.
5. Farahi believes that the Quran nazm precedes the reasons behind revelations and those reasons are acceptable that do not interfere with the Qur’anic nazm.
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